1	United States Attorney	*E-filed 7/14/06*	
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5056 FAX: (408) 535-5066		
8 9	Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff	TEC DICTRICT COLUDT	
10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12 13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. 06-70359 HRL	
14 15	Plaintiff, v.	) ) STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME	
16 17	WILETTE JOY PARKER,  Defendant.	) ) ) SAN JOSE VENUE	
18 19		) ) )	
20	On June 29, 2006, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for an initial appearance		
21 22	on a complaint. At that appearance, Assistant United States Attorney Susan Knight explained that the government needed to provide discovery to Guy Caputo, who represents the defendant,		
23	in order to facilitate a pre-indictment resolution. In addition, Mr. Caputo informed the Court that		
24	he would be unavailable from mid-July to the beginning of August due to a vacation. Therefore		
25 26	the parties jointly requested that the arraignment be continued to August 10, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. Ir addition, the defendant, through her Mr. Caputo, agreed to an exclusion of time under Rule 5 of		
27	the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Speedy Trial Act from June 29, 2006 to August		

28

10, 2006. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the

## Case 5:06-cr-00588-JW Document 5 Filed 07/14/06 Page 2 of 2

1	defendant's need for continuity and effective preparation of counsel.		
2		V. RYAN States Attorney	
3		states Attorney	
4	DATED:	/s/ KNIGHT	
5		at United States Attorney	
6	DATED:	la!	
7	GUY J.	/s/ CAPUTO for Ms. Parker	
8		TOT IVIS. I dIRCI	
9	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the preliminary hearing or arraignment is		
10	continued to August 10, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. Good cause is shown and the continuance is proper		
11	under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and 18 U.S.C. § 3060.		
12	For good cause shown, the Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the		
13	Speedy Trial Act from June 29, 2006 until August 10, 2006. The Court finds, based on the		
14	aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance		
15	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant		
16	the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective		
17	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage		
18	of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18		
19	U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).		
20	0 SO ORDERED.		
21	<b>I</b>		
22	Z    DATED. "T" " S S	Howard R. Lloyd RD R. LLOYD	
23		States Magistrate Judge	
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		
	II		

Stipulation and [proposed] order No. 06-70359 HRL